

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,408	02/24/2006	Yoshihiro Ito	0760-0350PUS1	4487	
2292 7590 02/68/2011 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BASS, DIRK R		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1777		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/08/2011	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/551,408 ITO ET AL. Office Action Summary

Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	I			
	DIRK BASS	1777				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GPR 1.15 and 55 K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  For example, the state of the state of the communication of the state of	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nove	mber 30 2010					
	action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E			, monto io			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>12,23,24,26,36 and 37</u> is/are pending	* *					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13,14 and 28-35</u> is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,23,24,26,36 and 37</u> is/are rejected	•					
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 33 0.3.3. § 119(a)	-(a) or (i).				
	s have been received					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.      Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents     Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents			Stane			
application from the International Bureau		o iii tiiis i vationai	Olago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d				
	or the continue copies not receive					
Attachment(s)		(570.440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice or Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s) Notal Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	<ol> <li>Notice of Informal P</li> </ol>	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/30/10.	6) U Other:					

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/30/10.	6)  Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/551,408 Page 2

Art Unit: 1777

#### DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response filed November 30, 2010 is acknowledged. Claims 12, 23, and 26, are amended, claims 13-14 and 28-35 are cancelled, and claims 36-37 are newly added. Claims 12, 23-24, 26, and 36-37 are pending and further considered on the merits

#### Response to Amendment

In light of the amendments, the examiner modifies the grounds of rejection set forth in the office action dated August 25, 2009.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 12, 23-24, 26, and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chappa et al., USPA 2001/0014448 (Chappa) in view of Tanaka et al., US 6706260 (Tanaka).
- 3. Regarding claim 12, Chappa discloses a method for fixing nucleic acids on a substrate (abstract) comprising coating a substrate with a solution containing a water soluble photoreactive polymer (¶ 0032-0033) having at least two photoreactive groups such as phenyl azide (¶ 0038), said polymer being electrically neutral as a whole (see ¶ 0031-0033 and Claims 5 and 11), and selectively irradiating said solution with light so as to pattern the region to which said substance is fixed (implicitly disclosed in ¶ 0016 and examples 6 and 25).
- 4. Chappa does not appear to expressly disclose a polymer comprising units represented by formula I. However, Tanaka discloses the use of polymers such as formula I ('MPC polymer', abstract) since such polymers structurally related to phosphatidylcholine, a major component in biological membranes (col. 1, I. 66 col. 2, I. 67), are known to be biocompatible and bioselective.
- 5. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to modify the method of Chappa to include the MPC polymer of Tanaka in order to provide a biocompatible, stable, and bioselective coating for fixing the biological components disclosed in Chappa.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,408 Page 3

Art Unit: 1777

6. Chappa in view of Tanaka do not appear to expressly disclose the relationship between the number of units of formula I and the number of units of formula II. However, it would have been obvious to a routineer in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the relative number of units represented by formulas I and II, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art (MPEP 214.05, Part II, Section B).

- 7. Regarding claims 23-24, Chappa discloses a method for fixing nucleic acids on a substrate (abstract) comprising coating a substrate with a solution containing a nonionic naturally occurring water soluble photoreactive polymer (¶ 0032-0033) having at least two photoreactive groups (¶ 0038) said polymer being electrically neutral as a whole (see ¶ 0031-0033 and Claims 5 and 11), and selectively irradiating said solution with light (¶ 0016 and examples 6 and 25).
- 8. Regarding claim 26, Chappa discloses a process of producing a coated substrate (abstract) comprising coating a substrate with a solution containing a water soluble naturally occurring nonionic photoreactive polymer (¶ 0032-0033) having at least two photoreactive groups such as phenyl azide (¶ 0038), said polymer being electrically neutral as a whole (see ¶ 0031-0033 and Claims 5 and 11), and selectively irradiating said solution with light so as to pattern the region to which said substance is fixed (implicitly disclosed in ¶ 0016 and examples 6 and 25).
- 9. Regarding claim 36, Chappa does not explicitly disclose a method where the water soluble nonionic polymer comprises polyethylene glycol monomethacrylate photoreactive acrylamide. However, Tanaka discloses using a photoreactive polymer backbone comprising polyethylene glycol monomethacrylate photoreactive acrylamide (C5/L51-63). Therefore, at the time of invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Chappa to include the polymeric constituent of Tanaka since it has been shown that such polymeric backbones are effective in producing macromolecules suitable for coating substrates.
- 10. Regarding claim 37, Chappa does not explicitly disclose a method where the water soluble nonionic polymer comprises polyethylene glycol monomethacrylate photoreactive acrylamide. However, Tanaka discloses using a photoreactive polymer

Application/Control Number: 10/551,408

Art Unit: 1777

backbone comprising polyethylene glycol monomethacrylate photoreactive acrylamide (C5/L51-63). Therefore, at the time of invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Chappa to include the polymeric constituent of Tanaka since it has been shown that such polymeric backbones are effective in producing macromolecules suitable for coating substrates.

## Response to Arguments

- 11. Applicant's arguments filed November 30, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- As shown above, Chappa in view of Tanaka obviate the elements of the amended claims submitted November 30, 2010.

#### Conclusion

13. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIRK BASS whose telephone number is (571) 270-7370. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9am-4pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on (571) 272-0579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,408 Page 5

Art Unit: 1777

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Krishnan S Menon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1777

/DRB/ Dirk R. Bass